Clerk of Court

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the Western District of Texas

Paltalk Holdings, Inc.	) ) Case No.:	o.: 6:21-CV-00757-ADA		
Cisco Systems, Inc.	)			
BILL	OF COSTS			
Judgment having been entered in the above entitled action on	October 8, 2024	against Cisco S	Systems, Inc.	
the Clerk is requested to tax the following as costs:	Date			
Fees of the Clerk			\$	
Fees for service of summons and subpoena			0.00	
Fees for printed or electronically recorded transcripts necessari			75,311.35	
Fees and disbursements for printing			0.00	
Fees for witnesses (itemize on page two)			7,884.72	
Fees for exemplification and the costs of making copies of any necessarily obtained for use in the case			21,174.41	
Docket fees under 28 U.S.C. § 1923			0.00	
Costs as shown on Mandate of Court of Appeals			0.00	
Compensation of court-appointed experts			7,297.50	
Compensation of interpreters and costs of special interpretation	services under 28 U.S.	C. § 1828	0.00	
Other costs (please itemize)			0.00	
		TOTAL	\$112,369.98	
SPECIAL NOTE: Attach to your bill an itemization and docum	entation for requested co	osts in all categories.		
De	claration			
I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing conservices for which fees have been charged were actually and not in the following manner:  Electronic service  Other:		copy of this bill has		
s/ Attorney: Max L. Tribble, Jr.				
Name of Attorney: Max L. Tribble, Jr				
For: Paltalk Holdings, Inc.		Date:	10/22/2024	
Name of Claiming Party				
	ion of Costs			
Costs are taxed in the amount of		and ir	ncluded in the judgment.	

Deputy Clerk

Date

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Witness Fees (computation, cf. 28 U.S.C. § 1821 for statutory fees)									
	ATTENDANCE		SUBSISTENCE		TRAVEL		Total Cost		
NAME , CITY AND STATE OF RESIDENCE	Days	Total Cost	Days	Total Cost	Expenses/ Miles	Total Cost	Each Witness		
Mr. Walter Bratic Houston, Texas	2	80.00	2	214.00	376	247.90	\$541.90		
Dr. Scott Schaefer College Station, Texas	1	40.00	1	107.00	192	128.77	\$275.77		
Dr. Vijay Madisetti Alpharetta, Georgia	1	40.00	1	107.00	1,712	1,147.04	\$1,294.04		
Mr. Jason Katz Jericho, New York	4	160.00	4	428.00	2,946	5,185.01	\$5,773.01		
							\$0.00		
							\$0.00		
					TOTAL		\$7,884.72		

#### NOTICE

#### Section 1924, Title 28, U.S. Code (effective September 1, 1948) provides:

"Sec. 1924. Verification of bill of costs."

"Before any bill of costs is taxed, the party claiming any item of cost or disbursement shall attach thereto an affidavit, made by himself or by his duly authorized attorney or agent having knowledge of the facts, that such item is correct and has been necessarily incurred in the case and that the services for which fees have been charged were actually and necessarily performed."

#### See also Section 1920 of Title 28, which reads in part as follows:

"A bill of costs shall be filed in the case and, upon allowance, included in the judgment or decree."

## The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure contain the following provisions: RULE 54(d)(1)

Costs Other than Attorneys' Fees.

Unless a federal statute, these rules, or a court order provides otherwise, costs — other than attorney's fees — should be allowed to the prevailing party. But costs against the United States, its officers, and its agencies may be imposed only to the extent allowed by law. The clerk may tax costs on 14 days' notice. On motion served within the next 7 days, the court may review the clerk's action.

#### **RULE 6**

(d) Additional Time After Certain Kinds of Service. When a party may or must act within a specified time after being served and service is made under Rule 5(b)(2)(C) (mail), (D) (leaving with the clerk), or (F) (other means consented to), 3 days are added after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a).

#### **RULE 58(e)**

Cost or Fee Awards:

Ordinarily, the entry of judgment may not be delayed, nor the time for appeal extended, in order to tax costs or award fees. But if a timely motion for attorney's fees is made under Rule 54(d)(2), the court may act before a notice of appeal has been filed and become effective to order that the motion have the same effect under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)(4) as a timely motion under Rule 59.